Document No. 10946



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, WA 98101

Reply To Attn Of: ECL-113

July 16, 2001

Ms. Kathleen Hain, Manager Environmental Restoration Program U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office 785 DOE Place Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402

Re: EPA review of OU 3-14 Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study Additional Soil Sites Summary Report (Draft)

Dear Ms. Hain,

EPA has reviewed the above-referenced document. I have enclosed a summary of my thought process in considering the information presented for each of these sites followed by a few comments and questions. A conference call should be scheduled to resolve any outstanding issues.

Please contact me at (206) 553-0040 if there are any questions.

Kathy Ivy

Remedial Project Manager

Enclosure

cc:

Tally Jenkins, DOE-ID

Margie English, IDEQ

# EPA July 16, 2001 COMMENTS ON OU 3-14 REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY ADDITIONAL SOIL SITES SUMMARY REPORT (DRAFT)

## **CPP-61**

Site CPP-61 was transferred to OU 3-14 because of concerns about possible PCB contamination remaining under the existing transformer pad. The information presented in the Additional Soil Sites Summary Report consists of cleanup guidelines that were drafted for use in addressing the PCB spill and construction logs and photographs used to document the process of soil excavation and backfill. This information appears to support the initial Track 1 no further action decision concerning PCB contamination.

The cleanup guidelines state that soil "shall be removed to at least eight (8) inches below the deepest signs of contaminated oil or contamination greater than or equal to 10 ppm" and that the excavation "will also extend laterally at least three (3) feet beyond the area that is visibly contaminated." The guidelines also state that gravel on the east side of the pad where the spill was located would be excavated to 6 - 18 inches deep and placed in barrels for disposal. The guidelines go on to say that soil below 18 inches would be removed in 1 foot increments and segregated into piles until a determination could be made that the soil contained less than 10 ppm PCBs and could be used as backfill. Finally, the guidelines state that restoration of the site would be completed with the placement of a surface layer of "clean gravel to the level of the surrounding area."

The construction logs indicate that the guidelines for cleanup were followed. The July 8 - 12 entry reflects discussions about removal of contaminated gravel. The July 22 - 25 entries state that soil on the east side of the pad was excavated in 1 foot increments and that extensive excavation continued to the depth required for the new pad and in order to dislodge the foundation walls. The July 29 entry indicates that the lowest point of the excavation was analyzed for PCBs and the August 16 entry suggests that excavated soil was sampled prior to release as backfill.

The information included in the cleanup guidelines and construction logs indicates that PCB concentrations in soil beneath the new transformer pad are at most 10 ppm. Pre-cleanup sampling results presented in Table 2-2 and sampling that occurred after cleanup as part of the OU 3-14 RI/BRA suggest that remaining contamination levels may be on the low end of this concentration range. Ignoring PCB concentrations found in surface soils that were disposed after cleanup, pre-cleanup sampling results show that the maximum PCB concentration at depth was 5.2 ppm and that many areas contained concentrations less than 0.5 ppm. Soil samples were taken after the cleanup to evaluate the extent of radioactive contamination as part of the OU 3-13 RI/BRA. The RI/BRA Report documents that a borehole was drilled as close as possible to the original PCB spill in the locations of the 1,000 and 1,500 cpm readings detected in 1985. The Additional Soil Sites Summary Report states that a hazardous waste determination was made for the IDW from the RI/BRA investigations and that only one sample showed detectable PCB concentration at less than or equal to 0.106 ppm.

The current TSCA PCB levels for non-restricted access locations is less than or equal to 10 ppm provided that there are clean surface soils (less than 1 ppm). Region IX PCB screening criteria for residential soil are as low as 0.22 ppm for integrated 10<sup>-6</sup> cancer risk. Information presented in this Additional Soil Sites Summary Report would indicate that soil PCB concentrations are within this range.

#### **Specific comments:**

**Page 2-10, Section 2.6, top paragraph, last sentence:** The OU 3-13 RI/BRA Report shows the location of the radionuclide samples and provides analytical results, but does not discuss the results of the IDW hazardous waste determination. Please provide a copy of the IDW documentation "Raunig 1998" in this report.

Page 2-11, Section 2.7, bullet 3: It states here and in other parts of this section that the area of CPP-61 at INTEC will not likely ever be a residential area. The OU 3-13 ROD uses the assumption that land use could be residential after 2095. Why is the land use assumption different in this instance?

### **CPP-81**

Site CPP-81 was transferred to OU 3-14 because of concerns about possible trace compounds remaining in the VOG line after flushing out the calcine plug. The Additional Soil Sites Summary Report estimates the level of mercury currently present in the VOG line by considering mercury concentrations from past aluminum calcine runs and comparing this to the percent reduction of cadmium resulting from flushing out the calcine plug. This document also describes how the nature of pilot plant operations limits the possibility that organic contaminants would have been present in the VOG line. This information appears to support the initial Track 1 no further action decision concerning the presence of trace contaminants.

#### **Specific comments:**

Page 3-6, Section 3.5.1, top bullet, second paragraph: Please provide a copy of the documentation "Staiger 1999" which demonstrates that the mercury concentrations in the line would have contained at most 30% of the cadmium concentrations found in the calcine that formed the plug.

#### CPP-82

Site CPP-82 was transferred to OU 3-14 for further evaluation. The information presented in the Additional Soil Sites Summary Report includes an account of pipe damage and spill cleanup as well as a description of process knowledge of pipe contents. The lack of sampling documentation following cleanup of the spill at location A, line PLA-776, makes it difficult to make a decision concerning the success of spill cleanup. Information about the spill at location B

indicates that only the discharge from line XW-NL-129167 might be a cause for concern. The description of the discharge contents suggests that material was below RCRA hazardous waste levels, however, there is no supporting documentation. Information about the spill at location C indicates that only the discharge from line SWNH-110717 might be a cause for concern. Sampling of material discharged through this pipe during the month prior to the line rupture confirms that material in this line was nonhazardous.

**Page 4-1, Section 4.2, first paragraph:** Can the levels of radioactivity measured in cpm (300 cpm background; 20,000 cpm spill) be approximately compared to levels of radioactivity in pCi/g Cs-137 for risk comparison purposes?

Page 4-7, Section 4.3, top bullet, second paragraph: Is there any documentation of chemical amounts used to neutralize the contents of the discharge in line XW-NL-129167?